

Markegard, Glen

From: Karen Stoller [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, February 03, 2019 1:55 PM
To: Markegard, Glen; Karen Stoller
Subject: RV

When is the next meeting on this topic? I really think the study session went in the direction of the mayor's whim and decisions were not made on rational data. You never had a chance to go through the presentation and present any data or address that using RV and keeping them close actual makes Bloomington a preferred community. Those who have RVs and have stored them off location have suffered vandalism. The nicer the RV, the more likely there is vandalism.

It seems that this discussion started as a result of the amount of time it takes to issue a permit. Was there any consideration on changing the permit process to be more efficient?

It also appears, that once again, those who do not have the funds to have a residence large enough to accomodate the RV with the setback and do not have the funds to have a cabin where they could store their RV or not have a need are being unfarily required to spend more funds to store it offsite. To design an ordinance based on grandma and grandpa visiting once a summer for a week in their RV is irrational. How many Bloomington residences have a grandparent who travels in that manner and stays in their driveway for a week?

I thought the sidewalk issue was well discussed; the RV discussion was an embarassment to city council. I hope you will share this email with city council.

Karen Stoller

O'Day, Elizabeth

From: Janet Ingebrigtsen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2019 10:27 AM
To: Planning
Subject: camper

To the city of Bloomington,

We have owned our home at 1500- E 83rd St since 1972. Since the early 80's we have owned RV's. Starting with a tent trailer, then 2 class C's and since 1998 a 1993 25ft Class A. Over the last 15yrs or so we have applied and received a permit to park on the cement drive pad on the 15th Ave side of our property. Our neighbors have always signed for the permit except one. He will not sign anymore due to an incident with one of his dogs. Our RV is starting to show it's age and we are looking into purchasing a new one. We retired in 2018 and plan to continue to spend more time camping with our family and friends. We would now have to down size to a travel trailer 25' or less in length but would still have to park it on current pad. Our lot does not allow legal parking and the city has taken that into consideration when issuing us a permit. We are looking forward to our retirement and more time to enjoy camping. We are currently on hold with the purchase of a travel trailer until this issue is resolved. We also agree that the permit process is expensive and time consuming for the city.

Thank you

Bary Ingebrigtsen

O'Day, Elizabeth

From: leyesjm <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 11:42 PM
To: Planning
Subject: PL2019-16 RV Ordinance Comments

PL2019-16 RV Ordinance Comments:

I have lived at 9817 Pillsbury Ave since 1975. I have been parking and storing a type II RV in my driveway more than 8 feet in front of the house since 1980.

I have had an RV permit to park and store an RV in my driveway more than 8 feet in front of the house and a waiver of screening requirements. I see no reason to change the current RV permit process. My neighbors have always approved my applications and have never objected to parking and storing the RV in the driveway. In fact, some neighbors have wondered why the RV permit is even needed.

The current RV permit process allows for the respect of the rights of adjacent neighbors, they have the ability to not approve the application. It is not necessary to prohibit everyone from storing an RV in their driveway if their neighbors have no objections.

Please keep the current RV permit process. The adjacent neighbors are the ones most affected by the RV, let them be the ones to decide with the RV permit process.

In addition to the provision allowing for temporarily parking in the driveway for loading and unloading, please add a provision that allows for temporarily parking an RV in the driveway for maintenance tasks like winterizing, de-winterizing, cleaning, washing, etc.

Please don't make the RV Ordinance so restrictive that it makes it impossible for me to use my RV, and enjoy my hobby.

Thank you,

John Leyes
9817 Pillsbury Ave

O'Day, Elizabeth

From: Gary Caple <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2019 5:29 PM
To: Planning
Subject: RV proposed ordinance

Sirs:

I have the following concerns with the proposed RV ordinance.

1. I currently have an RV permit
2. I own a 16 foot fishing boat
3. I have / use off site, off season storage for the boat, typically October through May 4. I fish typically May through October 5. Many fishing outings happen after work hours and depend on the weather 6. Living near Hillcrest school, out home has a drive under garage due to the hill on our property 7. Due to the change in elevation between the front of the yard and the back, there is no vehicle access to the side yard or back yard 8. Driveway parking for my boat is my only option.
9. Doing away with the permit, coupled with the wording of the proposed ordinance removes my only legal boat parking option

I believe we need to provide an option to people who do not have parking options on property locations as diagramed in the proposed ordinance.

Thanks

Gary Caple
3000 West 92nd street

Sent from my iPad