

Johnson, Nick M

From: Johnson, Nick M
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 12:28 PM
To: 'Brian Devlin'
Cc: 'Coyle, Peter J.'; 'moyer@sasarch.com'; 'Michael arenson'; 'Jon Lindstrom'; 'Ryan Bluhm'; 'Kelly Kunst'; 'Pat Gleason'; Markegard, Glen; Pease, Londell; Desrude, Jennifer
Subject: Friendship Village Application - Case PL2017-72
Attachments: Applicant Request for Continuance.pdf; 2017 PC Schedule.pdf

Brian and Friendship Village Team,

Following the continuance of your application by City Council, I'm writing to discuss future meeting schedules and upcoming deadlines based upon your extension of the agency action timeline to November 10, 2017 (letter attached). In reviewing our Code and discussing the path forward with the Planning Manager, Glen Markegard, we believe there are two paths forward: 1) No changes to application, or 2) Amended application. This determination is based on the application processes section of the City Code ([www.code.blm.mn/21.502.01\(i\)](http://www.code.blm.mn/21.502.01(i))). The two paths would involve the following actions based on Code:

- 1) **No changes to application** – If you want to move the application forward to City Council without modification, please notify us in writing and we will proceed to prepare public notice for the earliest available Council meeting. To allow for enough time for public hearings and City Council review of the subject application, we need to plan for three City Council meetings prior to the November 10, 2017 action deadline established in your extension letter. The three Council meetings prior to the November 10th agency action deadline are November 6th, October 16th and October 2nd. In order to prepare the necessary public notices for the October 2nd meeting, we would need to be notified by September 1st, 2017. If we do not receive instruction on how to proceed from the applicant by September 1st and the agency action deadline remains unchanged, staff will notice and prepare the existing application for the October 2nd meeting.
- 2) **Amended application** – If you want to significantly amend one or more of your applications, you will need to withdraw your previous version of that application according to our City Code (Code section referenced above). As a result, the applicable agency action timeline for that current application would be extinguished, and the City would approach the review of the amended application the same as a brand new application. As far as timing goes with regards to the agency action timeline, a new timeline would be established with the submission of your amended application.

After reviewing this information, let us know if you have any questions. If further discussion is needed, we are happy to conduct a conference call to discuss the future direction of the application. If you require additional time, further extending the agency action timeline would be another available option.

Take care,

Nick M. Johnson | Planner

Planning Division | City of Bloomington
1800 West Old Shakopee Road | Bloomington, MN 55431
Direct: (952) 563-8925 | NMJohnson@BloomingtonMN.gov