

581 Ottawa Ave Suite 300 • Holland MI 49423 • tommycarwash.com

	·····		CHANGE ORDER				
	·······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE: 11.08.22				
	·····			·			
Wash Info			Billing Info				
Wash Name	Tommy's Express Car Was	Name	Prem	nier Wash Systems. Christianson Companies			
Address Line 1	200 W Old Shake	<u></u>	Address Line 1		4265 451	h St. S. Suite 200	
Address Line 2	Bloomington MN	Address Line 2	<u> </u>	Fargo ND 58104			
Project #	P3280		-				
Quote #	12141						
Version #	12141	-11					
				·····			
Order # 102180	e Angel Sel of Magnetic Sciences		9-872 9-54 9-54 9 -	TAR AL DARAGE	No. No. Concerne		
ΟΤΥ	ITEM		DESCRIPTION		UNIT	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	
1	CCS-VAC20-10-A	Vacuum 2 0 Fo	or 10 Vacuum Compo	nents ARNy	19700	EXTENDED 19.700.00	
		700001112.010	a zo vacaom compo			Order # 102180	<u></u>
					iotar change to	01061 # 102180	\$19,700.00
Order # 102242					State Carlos		
QTY	ITEM		DESCRIPTION		UNIT	EXTENDED	
-10	E-VAC-220 : E-VAC-220-FV	Tommy Va	cuum 13" Dual Moto	r 708v	\$2,713.39	-27,133.90	
10	P-VAC-335-K		cing muffler kit. (10-1		\$388.00	3,880.00	
10	E-VAC-234-A	Tommy Vacuum 2.0 (\$4,950.00	49,500.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Г	otal Change to	Order # 102242	\$26,246.10
Order # 102234			હેલ સંસ્થાનિક જેમાં સમય છે.	an an constant an an			
QTY	ITEM		DESCRIPTION		UNIT	EXTENDED	
-9	E-R8P : E-RBP-Bk-CCW-NFL-BST	Black 10 HP CCW F	langeless Blower w/	Blast Gate and	\$5,760.00	-51.840.00	
-9	E-RBP : E-RBP-Bk-CW-NFL-BST		angeless Blower w/ B		\$5,760.00	-51,840.00	
9	E-RBP : E-RBP-Bk-CCW-NFL-INT	Black 10 HP CCW Flangeless Blower w/ Intake Screen and			\$5,367.00	48,303.00	
9	E-RBP : E-RBP-Bk-CW-NFL-INT	Black 10 HP CW Flan	geless Blower w/ Inta	ke Screen and	\$5,367.00	48,303.00	
				T	otal Change to	Order # 102234	-\$7,074.00
		An Contraction State State State	Sector Sector	Content Realized		1.000	2 S & C (3 %) (2
QTY	ITEM	DESCRIPTION			UNIT	EXTENDED	
-1	GC52-TX130-480	TX 2020 MCP2 - 130' - 480v			\$48,430.00	-48,430.00	
1	GCS2-TX130-480-BLVFD	TX 2020 MCP2 - 130' - 480v - (Blower VFD's) included			\$88,100.00	88,100.00	
				Ţ	otal Change to	Order # 102235	\$39,670.00
Order # 102245					and the second second		
	ITEM	DESCRIPTION			UNIT	EXTENDED	
-1	P-SE-2039-A	SMC Bla	st Gate Panel Assemb		\$0.00	0.00	
				Т	otal Change to	Order # 102245	\$0.00
	The request	ad shapped at the second	aulta ta an anna 11.1				
	merequest	ed change(s) above re	esonos in an overali ci	nange in the signi	contract of	\$78,5	642.10
		C s	Immary of Change(s)				
		30	miniary of change(s)				
Disclaimer: Tax adi	justment will be included within fi	nal invinice					
			STOMER APPROVAL				
Signature	Kyle Freier	<u></u>	JIOWICK AFFROVAL				
Name (Printed)	Kyle Freier						
Date	Nov 9, 2022			·····			
	I GRAN AN EXCLUSION						
			TCWS APPROVAL				
ignature		··········				·····	
ame (Printed)							
Date							



Tommy Car Wash Systems | 581 Ottawa Ave. | Holland, MI 49423 | tommycarwash.com

TCWS Muffler Report

Introduction: Vacuum mufflers were tested at TX Hudsonville for 2 weeks' time. The goal of this test, was to test three types of mufflers on site to attempt to reduce the noise output of the vacuums without loss of performance.

Methodology: Performance of the mufflers were tested with 4 criteria

- 1. Noise reduction (dB)
- 2. Additional Maintenance necessary / clogging (Yes or No)
- 3. Suction loss (kPa and % loss)
- 4. Aesthetics (Great, Good, Fair, Poor)

Results: Test results based on Methodology

- 1. BASELINE RESULTS (No mufflers attached)
 - a. Noise
 - i. Ambient (no vacs running): 65.7 dB (See figure 1.1)
 - ii. Running Vac with no muffler: 88.1 dB (See Figure 1.2
 - b. Additional Maintenance necessary / clogging: NO
 - c. Suction: 50 kPa/ 0%
 - d. Aesthetics: Great





FIGURE 1.1



FIGURE 1.2



2. P-VAC-334 RESULTS

FIGURE 1.3

- a. Noise reduction (dB):
 - i. Reading: 77.6 dB (See Figure 2.1)
 - ii. Reduction: 10.5 dB
- b. Additional Maintenance necessary / clogging
 - i. Yes: Minor (additional maintenance and clogging)
 - 1. Reverse pulse vacs to help with this
 - 2. Wash Mufflers to help with this
- c. Suction loss (KPa and %)
 - i. Reading: 40kPa (see Figure 2.2)
 - ii. Loss: 10kPa 20% loss in suction
- d. Aesthetics
 - i. Good (See Figure 2.3)



Figure 2.1



Figure 2.2





Figure 2.3: P-VAC-334

1. P-VAC-335 RESULTS



- a. Noise reduction (dB):
 - i. Reading: 79.1 dB (See Figure 3.1)
 - ii. Reduction: 9 dB
- b. Additional Maintenance necessary / clogging
 - 1. Yes: Minimal (additional maintenance, no
 - clogging)
 - a. Eventually replace filter of muffler.
- c. Suction loss (KPa and %)
 - i. Reading: 50kPa (see Figure 3.2)
 - ii. Loss: 0kPa 0% loss in suction
- d. Aesthetics
 - i. Fair (See Figure 3.3)



FIGURE

3.1 FIGURE 3.2







FIGURE 3.3 (P-VAC-335)



Tommy Car Wash Systems | 581 Ottawa Ave. | Holland, MI 49423 | tommycarwash.com.com

Sound Level Testing – Hudsonville 5/18/21

Introduction

Measurements of sound levels were collected on site to record noise levels generated by the standard 18 blower motor configuration at the wash exit. Measurements were taken between 10:00pm and 12:00am on May 18th at the Hudsonville Location.

Instrumentation & Procedure

Measurements were recorded using an Extech Instruments Model 407730 Sound Level Meter. This meter is calibrated and meets the standards of the National Institute of Standards and Technology and conforms with ISO 10012 and ANSI Z540-1-1994. Sound levels were recorded both at ground level as well as at a height of 5 feet off the surface. Measurements were recorded as an average of a 5 second period at each point. Samples were recorded with minimum possible ambient noise pollution when applicable and with the standard blower motor configuration. Procedure was repeated with blower motor frequency adjusted in 10Hz increments from 60Hz to 10Hz.

Atmospheric & Ambient Conditions

Atmospheric data is taken from the weather station at Gerald R. Ford International Airport and is shown in Table 1. Ambient sound levels were recorded at the maximum distance from the tunnel exit with all wash functions turned off. Ambient sound levels ranged from a minimum of 50dB to a maximum of 60dB. It should be noted that while efforts were made to prevent contamination of data from ambient conditions, some noise pollution from the environment was unavoidable.

Table 1: Environmental Conditions During Test Period								
Time & Date	Average Temp (F)	Avg. Relative Humidity	Wind Direction	Avg. Wind Speed (MPH)	Precipitation (in)	Ambient Sound Level (dB)		
10:00pm- 12:00am May 18 th , 2021	67	64.5%	E	4.6mph	0	50-60dB		

Results

Recorded data for each position at ground level is shown below in Table 2. This data is displayed in Figure 1. Recorded data for each position at 5ft height is shown below in Table 3. This data is displayed in Figure 2.



Figure 1: Measured Sound Pressure Levels at Ground Height



Figure 2: Measured Sound Pressure Levels at 5ft Height

	Table 2: Measured Sound Levels at Ground Height								
Distance (ft)	60hz	50hz	40hz	30hz	20hz	10hz			
0	104.8	99.1	94.5	86.9	77.4	64.1			
5	102.8	97.2	91.2	84.6	75.1	60.7			
10	98.9	93.3	87.6	80.7	71.9	60.4			
15	97.0	91.6	85.7	78.4	70.8	59.1			
20	95.6	89.6	84.1	76.3	68.3	58.2			
25	92.9	88.3	81.9	75.9	65.7	54.2			
30	92.3	87.1	80.8	73.6	65.1	55.9			
35	89.9	86.4	78.9	72.6	63.2	54.2			
40	88.4	84.0	77.8	71.5	62.0	52.6			
45	86.2	83.2	76.7	70.5	60.5	54.5			
50	86.0	82.1	75.4	69.2	59.3	55.9			
55	85.1	81.4	74.5	67.7	58.6	53.3			
60	82.6	78.2	72.4	66.6	55.6	50.5			
65	78.4	73.9	69.3	62.8	54.5	50.9			
70	77.6	74.8	68.5	61.9	54.2	52.2			
75	77.6	73.3	67.4	61.0	53.6	53.3			
80	76.4	72.5	67.2	59.9	52.8	53.6			
85	73.6	70.5	64.2	60.8	52.3	53.6			

Table 3: Measured Sound Levels at 5ft Height								
Distance (ft)	60hz	50hz	40hz	30hz	20hz	10hz		
0	103.4	98	92.7	85.4	76.8	62.7		
5	99.9	94.5	88.5	82	73.6	60.5		
10	96.1	91.2	85.2	78.8	70.2	57.8		
15	94.2	88.6	82.7	75.6	68.8	58.8		
20	92.3	86.8	81.2	74.2	65.5	54.8		
25	91.1	85.6	79.1	72.7	63.3	52.9		
30	89	83.8	77.6	71.1	62.9	53.9		
35	87.4	83.5	76.6	70.7	60.4	53		
40	87.2	82.6	75.9	70.1	59.8	52.9		
45	86.5	81.2	75.1	69.7	59.5	56.1		
50	85.7	80.5	73.6	67.6	58.1	56.1		
55	84.9	79.4	72.8	67.1	57	52.9		
60	83.5	78.2	71.9	64.7	56.3	52.6		
65	80.8	75.6	70	62.1	55.1	50.7		
70	80.4	75.9	69.1	62.3	54.2	53.9		
75	79.3	74.5	69.1	61.5	53.3	52.5		
80	77.8	73.8	67.5	59.8	53.3	52.1		
85	75.6	71	64.8	59.1	54.5	51.7		

Conclusions

By varying the frequency of the blower motor, it was possible to achieve sound pressure levels which did not exceed the ambient conditions at the maximum recorded distance. It was not possible to record the true sound level at these positions due to ambient sound conditions.