

December 27, 2022

Partner Engineering and Science, Inc.
Lauren Mayer, Zoning Assistant
611 Industrial Way West, Suite A,
Eatontown, NJ 07724
Direct Office: 908-652-8050
Fax: 732-510-5487
lmayer@partneresi.com

Property Name: GN ReSound
Property Address: 8001 East Bloomington Freeway
Bloomington Minnesota 55420
Year Built: 1960
Parcel Number: 0402724130052
Project Number: 22-394981.2

To Whom It May Concern:

In response to your request for information regarding the above-mentioned property, we have researched our files and present the following:

1. **The current zoning designation for the Subject property is** I-3 (industrial) and R-1 (Single Family Residential).
2. **Adjacent property zoning designation:**

| | |
|--------|--------------------|
| North: | <u>I-3</u> |
| South: | <u>R-1 and B-1</u> |
| East: | <u>I-3 and R-1</u> |
| West: | <u>C-4</u> |
3. **Is the current property located in a special, restrictive, or overlay district?** No.
4. **Is the property located in a Planned Unit Development? Please provide a copy of the Development Plan and Ordinance, specifically the conditions of approval/any entitlements approved for use, minimum lot area, minimum off-street parking, minimum/maximum setbacks, minimum/maximum permitted height, and minimum/maximum permitted unit density.**
No
5. **According to the zoning ordinances and regulations of this district, the use of the subject property is a:**
Current Use: Office, research, warehouse, and manufacturing

- ☒ Permitted Use by Right
☐ Permitted Use by Special/Specific Use Permit (see comments or attached approval documentation)
☐ Permitted Use by Conditional Use Permit (see comments or attached approval documentation)
☐ Legal Non-Conforming Use (use was existing prior to the adoption of the zoning ordinance/code)
☐ Non-Permitted Use

6. Conformance: Per the current Zoning Ordinances and regulations applicable to the subject property, the current structure(s) is:

- ☐ Legal Conforming (complies with, or is otherwise exempt from, applicable zoning regulations, including parking)
- ☐ Legal Non-Conforming (does not meet the current zoning requirements due to amendments, re-zoning, variance granted, or other changes. See comments.)
- ☐ Grandfathered (developed prior to the adoption of the zoning code/ordinance)
- ☐ Non-Conforming (see comments)

Comment: Not fully known as no recent performance standards review has been completed

7. Rebuild: In the event of a casualty, in whole or in part, the structure located on the Subject property:

- ☒ May be rebuilt in its current footprint (i.e., no loss of square footage, same number of dwelling units, same footprint with drive-through(s) if applicable).
- ☐ May not be rebuilt in its current footprint, except upon satisfaction of certain conditions, limitations, or requirements. Please see Section _____ of the current Zoning Ordinance for details.

Is there a damage threshold, that would trigger the requirement for a new Use Permit, Variances, or other approvals to be granted for the Subject property? If so, does the threshold apply to a single structure or the development, as a whole?

Provided a permit for replacement is obtained within 365 days and it is reconstructed as per the the case files on file (See separate letter)

8. Have any variances, special permits/exceptions, ordinances, or conditions been granted/approved for the Subject property:

- ☐ No, there do not appear to be any variances, special permits/exceptions, ordinances, or conditions that apply to the subject property.
- ☒ Yes, the following apply to the subject property:
(Documentation/copies attached)
- | |
|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Variances |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Special Permits/Conditional Use Permits/Exceptions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ordinances |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions of Approval |

Comment: See the letter provided for more detail

9. Site Plan Information:

- ☒ The Subject property was developed in accordance with an approved Site Plan. A copy of the plan and/or conditions of approval are attached.
- ☐ The Subject property was developed in accordance with an approved Site Plan; however, a copy is no longer available, or cannot be made available due to copyright limitations.
- ☐ The Subject property was not required to undergo Site Plan review.
- ☐ Other, (as noted here):

Comment: See the plans attached to the file

10. Code Violations Information:

- ☒ There do **NOT** appear to be any current outstanding/open zoning, building, or fire code violations that exist within our files for the Subject property.
- ☐ The following outstanding/open _____ zoning / _____ building / _____ fire code violations exist for the Subject property. Please include details in the below Comment section:

Comment: _____ While no violation are known – permits expired without inspections (See letter)

11. Certificate of Occupancy Status:

- ☐ A valid Certificate(s) of Occupancy or Temporary Certificate(s) of Occupancy has been issued for the Subject property and is attached.
- ☐ A valid Certificate of Occupancy has been issued for the Subject property (approximate issuance date _____); however, we are unable to locate a copy in our records. The absence of a Certificate of Occupancy _____ will / _____ will not give rise to any enforcement action.
- ☐ A Certificate of Occupancy is not required for the subject property.

Comment: _____ I can only assume one was issued when constructed – nothing on file to verify either way

11a. A new Certificate of Occupancy will be required for the following:

- ☐ Change of Owner
- ☐ Change of Tenant
- ☐ Change in Use
- ☐ Tenant Improvements/Remodel/Reconstruction
- ☒ New Construction
- ☐ Other: _____

12. Public Improvements/Road Work/Condemnation:

Does the City have current or future plans for roadway construction, easements, land condemnation proceedings, or other such activity that would impact the placement of property lines, affect the immediately surrounding rights-of-way, disrupt traffic flow in proximity of the Subject property for an extended period of time, and/or impede access to the property?

- ☒ Roadway Construction
- ☐ Easements
- ☐ Land Condemnation Proceedings
- ☐ Other: _____ While not fully known on the plan or timeline, the I35W and I494 interchange is planned for major alterations which may or may not impact the area. Please contact MNDOT for more information.

Additional comments regarding the subject property: _____ See the letter for more information

MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY:

Signature: _____

Municipality: _____ City of Bloomington, MN

Title: _____ Senior Planner

Department: _____ Planning

Printed Name: _____ Londell Pease

Phone: _____ 952-563-8926